

TGC II

Ten Resolutions Passed by Texas Gay Conference II

National Gay Unity Urged for Bicentennial

(San Antonio) "We hope that we gay women and men shall be taking our rightful, indeed dutiful role in connection with the Bicentennial. The country belongs to us, too, and we shall not endure another year of the repression, oppression and exploitation that we have suffered during our first 200 years, when liberty, justice and equality have been for 'them,' not for us.

"We mutually salute the notion of massive Gay Pride Week celebrations for June, 1976."

In a resolution ending with these two paragraphs, the Texas Gay Conference II expressed its desire for a nationally-coordinated observance of Gay Pride 1976 in observance of the Bicentennial.

The resolution was one of ten resolutions passed by participants in the conference held June 20-22 at the San Antonio Country and the International Theatre at HemisFair in San Antonio.

More than 150 Texans attended this second annual statewide conference which heralds the beginning of Gay Pride Week. The Texas Gay Task Force, which now sponsors the event, was formed at the first TGC held last year in Fort Worth.

Participants from San Antonio, Fort Worth, Houston, Dallas, Corpus Christi, Denton, Austin and across Texas heard

Morris Kight of the Los Angeles Gay Community Services Center and Carolyn Innes, founder of the Gay Nurses Alliance, address the group on matters relating to the Gay experience and the Gay Movement. In addition, conferees participated in a broad range of workshop sessions with topics ranging from those of interest to professional Gays to those of interest to Gay professionals.

Texas Gay Conference III will be hosted next year, June 18-20, by the Houston Gay Community.

Other resolutions adopted at the conference dealt with national gay communication, the Texas Homosexual Conduct law, sexism, Gay teachers, Gay ministers and police harassment.

National Gay Communication

A resolution was passed calling on the National Gay Task Force to reexamine its role as a self-defined "national clearing house for information relevant to Gay People," stating: "To the knowledge of the participants of the Texas Gay Conference II, the National Gay Task Force has not served that function in a consistent, systematic and reliable manner . . ."

The resolution further seeks to elicit reasons for this supposed failure from the NGTF and determine if the Task Force

plans to assume this role in the future. The resolution also praises NGTF for the work it has done and urges TGTF members who have not already done so to join NGTF.

Sexism

The problem of sexism was examined and a resolution passed instructing the TGTF Coordinating Council to "appoint a committee to explore, educate and set guidelines for the gay residents of Texas to facilitate consciousness in an attempt to attain human equality for all people...."

Texas Homosexual Conduct Law

Rep. Craig Washington of Houston came in for praise for his continuing support of Gay People and in particular for his effort to remove homosexual conduct from the state penal code. Rep. Joe Spurlock of Fort Worth was censured for his lack of concern for his Gay constituents and his efforts to retain the criminality of homosexual conduct.

Police Harassment

The Conference censured and condemned the actions of members of the Fort Worth Police Department at the first Texas Gay Conference last year in Fort Worth. It also urged support for Ken Cyr and AURA in their efforts to improve the

(Continued on Page 12)



FREE BEER and Gay skits highlighted the first annual North Texas Gay Pride picnic held last month at Queen's Point on Garza-Little Elm Reservoir north of Dallas. Skits were per-



formed by a group billing themselves as "Two Dykes and a Queen." More than 50 persons enjoyed the entertainment and the booze.

MOTION TO TABLE SPURLOCK AMENDMENT TO COMMITTEE AMENDMENT 1 TO SB 127

NAME	Y	N	P	NAME	Y	N	P	NAME	Y	N	P	NAME	Y	N	P
Mr. Speaker				Edwards				Lary				Ribak			
Adams				Evans				Lauhoff				Rucker			
Agnich				Ezell				Leland				Salem			
Allen				Finney				Lewis				Scheffer			
Allred				Florence				McAlister				Semis			
Atkinson				Fox				McBee				Sherman			
Barley				Garcia, A.				McDonald, F.				Short			
Baker				Garcia, M.				McDonald, T.				Simpson			
Barrantos				Gaston				Medla				Stack			
Bigham				Geiger				Maloney				Siv			
Bird				Gilley				Markins				Smith			
Blake				Grant				Martin				Spurlock			
Blythe				Green, F.				Messey				Stubbsman			
Bock				Green, R.				Mattox				Sullivan			
Boone				Hale				Mayes				Sutton			
Brown				Hall, A.				Miller				Tanner			
Bryant				Hall, W.				Montoya				Tarbox			
Bynum				Hanna				Moreno				Temple			
Caldwell				Harris				Munson				Thompson			
Cantales				Hartung				Nabers				Torres			
Caraway				Head				Newton				Truitt			
Cartledge				Healy				Nichols				Uher			
Cartwright				Henderson				Nowlin				Vale			
Cates				Hendricks				Nugent				Vaughan			
Chavez				Hernandez				O'Kelly				Vick			
Clark, B.				Hilliard				Olson				Von Dohlen			
Clark, J.				Hoestebach				Parker, C.				Washington			
Clove				Hollowall				Parker, W.				Waters			
Coleman				Hubenak				Pentony				Watson			
Coody				Hudson				Paveto				Waddington			
Cradick				Hutchison				Polumbo				Whitehead			
Davis				Johnson				Powers				Whitmore			
Delco				Jones, G.				Presnal				Waring			
Denson				Jones, L.				Preston				Willis			
Denton				Kaster				Ragadale				Wilson			
Donaldson				Koroth				Rains				Wyatt			
Dramberger				Kubiek				Reyes							
Earle				Laney				Reynolds							

ADOPTION OF SPURLOCK AMENDMENT TO COMMITTEE AMENDMENT 1 TO SB 127

NAME	Y	N	P	NAME	Y	N	P	NAME	Y	N	P	NAME	Y	N	P
Mr. Speaker				Edwards				Lary				Ribak			
Adams				Evans				Lauhoff				Rucker			
Agnich				Ezell				Leland				Salem			
Allen				Finney				Lewis				Scheffer			
Allred				Florence				McAlister				Semis			
Atkinson				Fox				McBee				Sherman			
Barley				Garcia, A.				McDonald, F.				Short			
Baker				Garcia, M.				McDonald, T.				Simpson			
Barrantos				Gaston				Medla				Stack			
Bigham				Geiger				Maloney				Siv			
Bird				Gilley				Markins				Smith			
Blake				Grant				Martin				Spurlock			
Blythe				Green, F.				Messey				Stubbsman			
Bock				Green, R.				Mattox				Sullivan			
Boone				Hale				Mayes				Sutton			
Brown				Hall, A.				Miller				Tanner			
Bryant				Hall, W.				Montoya				Tarbox			
Bynum				Hanna				Moreno				Temple			
Caldwell				Harris				Munson				Thompson			
Cantales				Hartung				Nabers				Torres			
Caraway				Head				Newton				Truitt			
Cartledge				Healy				Nichols				Uher			
Cartwright				Henderson				Nowlin				Vale			
Cates				Hendricks				Nugent				Vaughan			
Chavez				Hernandez				O'Kelly				Vick			
Clark, B.				Hilliard				Olson				Von Dohlen			
Clark, J.				Hoestebach				Parker, C.				Washington			
Clove				Hollowall				Parker, W.				Waters			
Coleman				Hubenak				Pentony				Watson			
Coody				Hudson				Paveto				Waddington			
Cradick				Hutchison				Polumbo				Whitehead			
Davis				Johnson				Powers				Whitmore			
Delco				Jones, G.				Presnal				Waring			
Denson				Jones, L.				Preston				Willis			
Denton				Kaster				Ragadale				Wilson			
Donaldson				Koroth				Rains				Wyatt			
Dramberger				Kubiek				Reyes							
Earle				Laney				Reynolds							

THIS IS HOW YOUR REPRESENTATIVE VOTED on your rights as a gay person. In the top chart, a check or dot in the "Y" column is pro-gay, and a check or dot in the "N" column is anti-gay. "Y" indicates "yea," while "N" indicates "nay." The "X's" indicate those members who had excused absences. In the lower chart, a check or dot in the "N" column is pro-gay, while a mark in the "Y" column is anti-gay. Was your representative representing your best interests?

NO D/FW REPRESENTATIVE SUPPORTS GAY CONCERNS

No matter where you live in Dallas or Fort Worth, you can be sure that the Legislator who is supposed to represent you in Austin failed to represent your interests as a gay person during the session which ended last month.

As reported earlier in *Community News*, the issue of whether the private homosexual acts of consenting adults should be criminal was brought before the Texas House of Representatives for the first time in history when that body debated and voted on the Committee Amendments to S.B.127. Thanks to Rep. Craig Washington of Houston, who called for a record vote on the issue, we now have a printed record of how every legislator in Texas voted on this important issue. (See tabulation at left)

The record for the Fort Worth-Dallas delegation is pretty dismal. Other than Rep. Mattox of Dallas and Rep. Sherman of Fort Worth, who were absent at the time of the vote, every member of the Fort Worth-Dallas delegation voted to retain the criminal sanction against the private homosexual acts of consenting adults. A worse record could hardly be imagined, for even those legislators whose districts have a sizable gay population, such as Rep. Samuel Hudson of the Oak Lawn area of Dallas, voted against gay people. Particularly disappointing were the votes of Rep. Chris Miller of Fort Worth and Rep. Paul Ragdale of Dallas who voted against the gay people of their districts in spite of their earlier promises of support.

This type of political double-crossing together with the lack of support gay people received from all the "closet" liberals in the D/FW delegation points up rather clearly that gay people will get absolutely nowhere if we continue to sit back and hope that the politicians will help us out of the kindness of their hearts. Between now and the 1976 elections, gay people need to start letting their Legislators know that we exist, that we are watching them, and that we can vote, too, if we expect a better result the next time we go to Austin.

- Randy Beitel



**PROMOTE
EQUAL
PEOPLE**

WATCHING THE TEXAS LEGISLATURE

By Randy Beitel

Unlike previous years when the debate on the criminalization of the private homosexual acts of consenting adults was relegated to the obscurity of sub-committee hearings, this year the debate took place on the floor of the House, right out there in public where any and all could see and hear, anyone, that is, who was still awake and in the House Gallery at 2:00 in the morning on May 29.

The debate began rather mundanely, with Rep. Craig Washington of Houston, the sponsor of the bill to repeal the consensual sodomy statute, explaining the various non-controversial provisions of the omnibus penal code revision bill in which the gay rights clause had been hidden by the Criminal Jurisprudence Committee. But shortly after Washington began, he was interrupted and asked whether this bill would repeal the law against homosexual conduct — and the debate was on.

Laughter, Catcalls from House

As soon as the word "homosexual" was mentioned, the House broke out in a rash of laughter, mutterings and catcalls, a mood which was to characterize the debate and which often necessitated the Speaker's gaveling the unruly House members to order so the debate could continue.

During the confusion, Rep. Spurlock of Fort Worth introduced his anti-gay amendment to Rep. Washington's bill, an amendment which provided for the gutting of the gay section of S.B. 127. Thus the real debate continued, centered around the Spurlock Amendment, the pro-gays fighting the amendment, the anti-gays pushing the amendment.

Rep. Gaston of Dallas broke into Rep. Washington's presentation, asking whether it was true that "only homosexuals are against the amendment," thus implying that any Legislators who voted in favor of repealing the consensual sodomy statute must be gay themselves.

Infuriated at this cheap rhetorical sham, Rep. Washington responded forcefully, "You can persecute people, Mr. Gaston, because you don't understand them, Mr. Gaston; you can laugh about it, but that doesn't make it right . . . Article 21.06 only allows for the persecution of some

people that in my opinion have just as much right to live their life the way they want to as much as you do. And I don't think this is right."

Not Politically Wise

Realizing that many of the Legislators would vote against the sodomy law repeal simply because of political expediency, Rep. Washington noted that "I know you're going to defeat the amendment . . . because its politically wise to do so. But that doesn't make it right."

With a breastplate of righteousness, Rep. Salem of Corpus Christi responded with a virtual admission of homophobia: "Mr. Washington, let me advise you that as far as I'm concerned, it's not (a matter of being) politically wise with me — I just don't like it (homosexuality) worth a damn!"

Rep. Washington replied, "Well, you're entitled to that opinion, but I don't see how that gives you the right — I might not like the suit you have on worth a damn, but that doesn't give me the right to take it off of you."

After some further attempts by Rep. Salem to obfuscate the issue, Rep. Washington cut him off by saying, "You can stand up there and demagogue till hell freezes over . . . You can verbally abuse me if you want . . . but I put the amendment (to repeal Section 21.06) up here because I believe it is right for these (gay) people and I have as much right to my beliefs as you do to yours."

And so went the debate until 2:40 in the morning, with the gay people losing on two votes, 112 to 16 and 117 to 14.

Effort Is Its Own Reward

Looking back on all the time we spent in Austin, getting a bill introduced, testifying at the committee hearings in April, contacting Legislators and finally the House debate in the last few days of the session, my feelings are best described by a comment which Rep. Leland of Houston made to Rep. Craig Washington as the House was voting down the bill: "Effort is its own reward, Craig." How true, for win, lose or draw, it was a tremendously exhilarating experience to be openly gay, confronting the homophobia of the Texas Legislature, asserting that we as gay people have some rights that we are going to claim whether they like it or not. Thus are the rewards of a gay activist. While we did not succeed in repealing the consensual sodomy statute, we did succeed in making the Legislature aware of our presence as persons with serious arguments and claims — and we assured them that we would be back next session, and we will.

GAYS NOW OK IN NEW MEXICO

(*Santa Fe, NM*) New Mexico became the tenth state to eliminate penalties for sexual acts between consenting adults in private, effective July 1, when Governor Jerry Apodaca signed a bill repealing the penalties for sodomy. The bill, a comprehensive reform of the state's rape laws, had earlier passed the State Senate by a vote of 41-1 and the State House by 53-0 with almost no debate.

Other states which have legalized sexual acts of consenting adults in private are: California, Oregon, Hawaii, Illinois, Colorado, Ohio, Delaware, Connecticut and North Dakota.

651 SOUTH JENNINGS

651 CLUB

(817) 332-0745 • Fort Worth

Happy Hour — 4 to 6 p.m.

Cruising the News

HOUSTON COMES OUT

(Houston) Representatives of this city's Gay Community held a news conference this month. Their purpose was to inform the public that they will no longer accept being second class citizens.

Ray Hill, long-time Houston gay activist and one of the organizers of the new Gay Political Caucus, said questionnaires will be sent to all candidates in the November city election in an attempt to get them to take stands on gay issues. The group will be working for:

- Repeal of the state law that makes homosexual acts between consenting adults a crime;
- State Legislation outlawing job discrimination against homosexuals . . . as well as removal of the local ban on hiring gays as police officers;
- Federal legislation giving partners in gay unions the right to file joint income tax returns;
- Inclusion of the homosexual perspective in sex education classes in public schools.

Another of the spokespersons, Pokey Anderson of N.O.W.'s Sexuality and Lesbianism Task Force, stressed that women in the group will also be working on reforms that affect them as Lesbians such as child custody battles.

The caucus will also work toward changing the public's image of homosexuals through full usage of the mass media.

Other Gay leaders appearing at the press conference included Jerry Miller and the Rev. Bob Falls, pastor of Houston's Metropolitan Community Church, where the conference was held.

Response to the announcement was generally felt to be good, as the event was given objective coverage by both major newspapers as well as other media.

Support for the new Gay Political Caucus from the Gay Community is expected to be good. Organizers include representatives of both liberal and conservative elements as well as women's groups and religious groups.

LEGGETT LOSES REINSTATEMENT TRY

(San Antonio) Rev. Gene Leggett lost another round last month in his four-year battle to regain his credentials as a minister of the United Methodist Church. The Southwest Texas Annual Conference overwhelmingly rejected a petition by Leggett's home church, St. Stephen's in San Antonio, to allow him to perform ministerial functions such as celebration of holy communion, baptism and marriage within the boundaries of that church.

Leggett was stripped of his credentials by the Conference in 1971 after he openly acknowledged his own gayness and his ministry to gay people.

Bishop Eugene O. Slater stated at a press conference following the June 2-5 conference: "We do not condone the practice of homosexuality, and consider this practice incompatible with Christian teaching. We could not appoint a self-avowed homosexual to be pastor at any United Methodist Church.

San Antonio newspapers gave considerable coverage to Leggett's struggle, as did two of the three local television stations.

as the lesbians had done. Janna Zumbrun of ALO (to whom a big hand and a bouquet of roses and sunflowers are more than due) said that since the rain held off until after the march, she knows that God is a lesbian. When the rain finally did get heavy, the rally moved onto the Capitol porch, where music continued well into the afternoon.

Channels 7 and 36 gave us good coverage on the Saturday night news, and *The Texan* printed a short article Monday. The *Austin American Statesman*, however, saw fit to ignore the march entirely.

One of the many good things about the parade was the attendance of gay people from all over the state and some local "non-lesbian" women. One of the bad things was the presence of photographers whom no one had ever seen before or since. For an event organized in two weeks' time, the Christopher Street Day Parade was an incredible triumph.

(Reprinted from *Pointblank Times*)



AUSTIN GAY PRIDE MARCH

By Nina Wouk

(Austin) On Saturday, June 28, Austin experienced its first legal gay pride march, which was organized and led by lesbians. One hundred people, mostly women, marched up Congress Avenue to the Capitol, chanting "Gay is twice as good as straight" and similar sentiments. One older woman joined us from among the small crowd watching; a Chicana with two children gave us a high-sign. The police escort behaved itself admirably; not once were we ordered to disperse. More people joined us at the Capitol, where some of us sat on the steps for awhile, making music for ourselves and the TV newspeople. The KTVV sound woman admired our "smash phallic imperialism" sign, while we sang "I'm gonna grow up to be a dyke" and "you people who don't like me better leave me alone." Then we reassembled on the lawn to listen to women's music and various speakers, including ones from Corpus Christi, San Antonio and Fort Worth. Art Addison of GPA told the other gay men more or less to get organized,



**THIS
COULD
BE
YOURS
For Only
\$10**

Call (817) 338-0128,
or write P.O. Box
7367, Fort Worth,
TX 76111 to advertise
in *Community News*.

Three Bars in One:
**SPEAKEASY,
 COUNTRY WESTERN
 & PATIO BAR**

**HAPPY HOUR
 EVERY DAY
 5 to 8**



**A TOUCH OF THE OLD
 & A TOUCH OF THE NEW**

WELCOME TO

THE OLD PLANTATION

WHERE FRIENDLY PEOPLE MEET

3717 Rawlins • Dallas, Texas • (214) 528-6550

MONDAY:

10c Draft
 Strawberry Daiquiri 90c

TUESDAY

Margaritas 90c
 Bloody Marys 60c

WEDNESDAY

½ Price Well Drinks

THURSDAY

Champagne Night
 50c Glass
 Frozen Daiquiri 90c

SUNDAY

½ Price Well Drinks
 Margaritas 90c

When in El Paso, visit

THE NEW PET SHOP

532-9721

800 E. San Antonio Street

SUNDAY

Free Draft & Food
 SHOW-\$1.50 Adm.

MONDAY

½ Price Well Drinks

TUESDAY

Pool Tournament

WEDNESDAY

½ Price Well Drinks

DATING & COURTSHIP

By Rip Corley

The other day in the encounter group I conduct we were discussing the problem of weak, frequent, short-lived relationships that bear a variety of names (marriage, union, lovers, spouses, whatever) and all too frequently have one thing in common — their brevity.

One of the group members said the greatest problem in establishing a relationship is the inability to get to know someone in whom you might be interested: "We have no dating or courtship period," he said. "It's hello, hop in bed, and good-bye; or if the bed hop was good enough, stay together until the luster is gone and then good-bye."

This criticism is all to valid. The date as it stands now rarely lends itself to getting to know what the other individual is really like as a person on a day-to-day basis. At most it involves perhaps a show or dinner or the bars and dancing and then bed. While sex is an important part of any relationship, it has taken on an undue importance in some segments of the gay community. Many of the relationships are based on sexual compatibility as opposed to interpersonal compatibility. I would not be naive enough to recommend abstaining from sex until commitment is made; I would, however, like to entertain the idea that putting off a sexual encounter until some of the other facets of a person are known may lead to a more enduring relationship.

Now changing our *modus operandi* is not without risk. That "Mr. Right" may just slip by if we don't play the game of sex when we first meet; but, if he is "Mr. Right," he probably will be around for more of an introduction — even if we don't hop into the sack the first night.

There is also the problem of how to act in a dating situation. For many this

will be the first time in a new world of having chosen not to get sexually involved at the onset. Take a situation, if you will, where you meet a guy in the bar. You talk and seem to be compatible. As the evening progresses, you both realize a mutual compatibility. The hour grows late, but you are still engrossed in one another and do not wish to break this up just now. You go out for coffee and then to the more private atmosphere of his apartment to continue to get to know one another still better. There one turns to the other for a little kiss to relate part of the gratuity in getting to know this individual. In most situations, this is the sexual turning point, for one kiss leads to another and so on. This is where it gets more difficult to terminate the evening with only a night cap, good conversation, and a goodnight kiss. Most of us are not programmed in this fashion, and such a situation would be extremely anxiety provoking . . . but it doesn't have to be the end of the encounter. During the night cap conversation, explore one another's interest in meeting again, perhaps the following day for a show or dinner. Talking about the nice points of having met promotes a continuing interest. And provided the evening goes this way, a goodnight kiss should have more meaning than in the past.

As this relationship progresses and there are some feelings invested in the other person, hopefully mutually, the question arises about when more intimate contact is in order and whether this indicates that solidarity has entered the relationship. The answer to the first part lies with the two people themselves. There is no timetable for a sexual encounter in a relationship. Probably when it feels natural is the best timing. As for solidarity, if the sexual encounter is looked upon as a further

means of communication and not the zenith of the relationship, then the likelihood is enhanced of being able to identify solidarity.

During this period it may be best to date more than one individual. The one who is most compatible will gravitate in your direction. When this occurs, it is time to consider more serious dating. At this time sexual play may become more important and meaningful to the relationship but by no means should it become the overbearing part. This is the time really to get to know one another.

During this more serious dating period there probably will be a muting of the roles commonly assumed early in a relationship and each person will slip into who he really is on a day-to-day basis. Few of us are the same person to old friends that we were when we were new friends, simply because we tend to make more concessions and put our best foot forward to foster the primary relationship. This is not necessarily dishonest but is merely a social courtesy due any person we meet.

It is also during this time that some problems will emerge. We must recognize that when two people get together and intend a long-term situation, there will be some adjusting on both sides due to the fact that there are two very distinct and different individuals involved. If these adjustments are extremely difficult now, the likelihood of them being even more so in an espoused relationship is great.

If everything is working out favorably, it is probably time to plan for a period of courtship, during which both of you will be getting comfortable with the idea of a long-term relationship.

Regardless of the outcome of the dating period, however, a good deal of growth and mutual respect for the other person (and other people) is sure to result from the experience.

RC



themselves as "Two Dykes and a sons enjoyed the entertainment

(Editor's Note: This column addresses itself to the sexual promiscuity of the gay male and is not intended to overlook our women readers — it's just a fact that promiscuity is much more prevalent among males. Opinions expressed in this and other columns and bylined articles are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of this paper or of its other staff members.)

Civil Service Board Denies Discrimination Against Gay Dallas City Employee

(Dallas) The Dallas Civil Service Board has rejected claims of Steve Childers, a leader in the local Metropolitan Community Church, that he was passed over for promotion because of his activities in the church and as a gay activist.

The hearing before the Civil Service Board was the latest development in the battle for equal employment rights that Childers began late in 1974. At that time he scored high on a civil service exam for promotion but was not even interviewed for the position. When he applied for a position in the property room of the Dallas police department (for which he was qualified by civil service classification), a senior officer reportedly told him it was against the code of conduct to hire a homosexual.

At the hearing Police Sgt. H.J. Wages told the board that the code of conduct requires all employees to uphold the state penal code. Since the penal code makes homosexual activities illegal, Wages contended, it would be impossible for Childers to adhere to the code.

Also appearing at the hearing was John Stacha of the water utilities department who denied that Childers was passed over for promotion because of his sexual preference. He explained that the employee

selected for the post in question made an even higher score on the test than did Childers, who, he said, will still be eligible to apply again whenever another job opens up.

Childers charged that the refusal of the police department to accept him amounted to religious discrimination since his participation in gay activities are all in relation to his work in Metropolitan Community Church.

The hearing before the civil service board came after a maze of appeals and hearings before other city officials.

After the boards' decision that there was insufficient evidence of discrimination, Childers said, "The city government has many gays among its staff member," although most do not publicly acknowledge it. "Everywhere around the country laws are being changed in a movement to guarantee equal employment opportunities for gay people."

Although Childers is unsure at this time what his next step will be, he stressed that he considers the matter too important to let it drop.

Both major Dallas newspapers, as well as two television stations, reported on Childers' battle following the hearing.



DALLAS & FORT WORTH ministers of Metropolitan Community Churches got together in Fort Worth last month at the South Central District Conference of MCC. Left to right: Rev. David Carden, assistant pastor, Fort Worth; Rev. Ron Anderson, Ft. Worth pastor; and Rev. Jim Harris, pastor of the Dallas church.

G.O.O.D. GETS A FACE-LIFT

By Lee Gaylord

(Dallas) After a good deal of time and effort, the membership of the Gay Organization of Dallas unanimously approved a new constitution and statement of principles on June 20. The changes made were felt necessary for the future growth of the organization.

New officers were also elected at that meeting and are: president, Larry Lingle; vice president, Darryl Brown; secretary, Lee Gaylord; and treasurer, Randy Moreau. Additional information about G.O.O.D. may be obtained by calling the organization at (214) 748-9880.

ed, "They will work with state agencies and private groups to further define the problem and make recommendations for further action. State departments and agencies are instructed to fully cooperate with them in the effort to end this type of discrimination."

Pennsylvania Governor Recognizes Gay Rights

(Philadelphia) Governor Milton J. Shapp of Pennsylvania has issued the first state executive order giving equal rights to Gay People. The order read, in part, "I am committing this administration to work towards ending discrimination against persons solely because of their affectional or sexual preference." The order further set up an apparatus to implement the governor's order. Two state government employees were instructed to review and monitor the progress. The order instruct-

COURT SAYS MCC OK

(San Francisco) The U.S. District Court here has ruled that the California state prison ban on Metropolitan Community Church services is unconstitutional and ordered that the ban be lifted unless the California Department of Corrections can prove that the church services constitute a "clear and present danger" to the

"good order" of the prisons.

The court held that MCC is a bonafide church, that it does not teach or preach homosexuality or sexuality of any kind, and that the ban violates constitutional guarantees of freedom of religion.

The two-year-old suit was originally brought by Rev. Joseph Gilbert of Sacramento and Rev. Tere Roderick.

500 CLUB

506 West Magnolia • Fort Worth
(817) 335-0692

DAILY SPECIALS

Open Monday thru Friday

Dehon	•	Jones, G.	
Deason	•	Jones, L.	
Denton	•	Kester	
Dimitroff	•	Koriath	day
Dramberger	•	Kubiak	
Earle	•	Laney	

THIS IS HOW YOUR REPRESENTATIVE chart, a check or dot in the "Y" column gay. "Y" indicates "yea," while "N" had excused absences. In the lower mark in the "Y" column is anti-gay.

TEXAS GAY CONFERENCE III

June 18, 19, 20, 1976 - Houston, Texas
 Sponsored By Eastern Region Texas Gay Task Force
 P.O. Box 16041 Houston, Texas 77022 (713) 523-4609

GAY ACADEMIC UNION AGENDA

Friday, June 18: Cougar Den, University Center

8:00 - ? Registration, reception, and dance

Saturday, June 19: Agnes Arnold Hall

8:30 - 9:00	Late registration	Auditorium
9:00 - 10:30	General assembly	Auditorium
	Address by Jean O'Leary	
10:30 - 12:00	Workshop 1	
	Men's sexism workshop	Auditorium
	Women's sexism workshop	Room 101
12:00 - 1:30	Lunch	
1:30 - 3:00	Workshop 2	
	GAU regional planning	Room 101
3:00 - 4:30	Workshop 3	
	Coalition building	Room 215*
	Gays & Mental Health Field	Room 218*
4:30 - 5:30	Dinner	
5:30 - 7:00	Workshop 4	
	Organizing university and para-university groups	Room 101
	Class analysis of gay movements	Room 201**
7:00 - ?	Texas Gay Task Force Plenary Session	Auditorium

Sunday, June 20: Agnes Arnold Hall

8:30 - 10:00	Musical religious service	University Chapel
10:00 - 12:00	General assembly	Auditorium
	Film presentation by Vito Russo	
12:00 - 1:30	Lunch	
1:30 - 3:00	Workshop 5	
	Gays studies courses	Room 215*
	Relationship transitions and the 'new gay'	Room 218*
3:00 - 4:00	General assembly	Auditorium
4:00 - 6:00	Dinner	
6:00 - 8:30	GAU Plenary Session	Auditorium

* Enter through room 212C
 ** Enter through room 206C

